

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/993,500	11/27/2001	Xuan Li	107317-00037	8145
7:	590 03/19/2004		EXAM	INER
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC Suite 400			' NOLAND, THOMAS	
	cut Avenue, N.W.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	ton, DC 20036-5339 2856			

DATE MAILED: 03/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/993,500	LI, XUAN				
, . , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas P. Noland	2856				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 01 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee						
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extent at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	d statutory period for reply originally set in onths after the mailing date of the final reje	the final Office action; or ection, even if timely filed,	(2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: the new limitation added to claim 13 clea	arly raises a new issue.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>14,16 and 17</u> would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>based upon a non entered amendment</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: 14,16 and 17.			4			
Claim(s) rejected: 13 and 15.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
.⊠ The drawing correction filed on <u>01 March 2004</u> is a)⊠ approved or b)□ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
		•				
		Thomas P. Noland Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2856				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Part of Paper No. 03152004

Thomas McCJ